

APPLICATION NUMBER

FILING DATE

FIRST NAMED APPUCANT

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Tra. mark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231



ATTY, DOCKET NO.

	09/172,853 10/15/98 DUPUIS	C	5725.0134-01
		EX	AMINER
	HM12/1028		
	FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW	WE SMAN	19 name
	GARRETT & DUNNER	AHTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	1300 I STREET NW	4.5477	9
	WASHINGTON DC 20005-3315	1617	
		DATE MAILED:	10/28/99
	This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS		
	OFFICE ACTION SUMMARY		•
×	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/3 u/q q		<u> </u>
	This action is FINAL.		
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 D.C. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	s to the merits is c	losed in
	4		
whi the	hortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire ichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the pure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained usuals.	month(s), or thin period for response v under the provisions	will cause
	sposition of Claims		
Ø	Claim(s) 25 - 67	is/are pending	in the application.
$\overline{}$	Of the above, claim(s)	_is/are withdrawn f	rom consideration.
	Claim(s)	is	/are allowed.
	Claim(s)		/are rejected.
	Claim(s) 25-67 are subject	is/a	e objected to.
又	Claim(s)are subject	ct to restriction or el	ection requirement.
Αp	plication Papers		
П	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.		
	he drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the Examiner.		
		_is 🔲 approved	disapproved.
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.		
Pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).		
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been			
	received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)		
	received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).	•
	received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2 *Certified copies not received:		·
			·
	*Certified copies not received:		· ·
	*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		·
	*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). tachment(s) Notice of Reference Cited, PTO-892		· ·
	*Certified copies not received:		·
	*Certified copies not received:		·
	*Certified copies not received:		·

Application/Control Number: 09/172,853 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a) a composition comprising an "acrylates" copolymer and an amphoteric polymer (claims 25-33), b) a composition comprising an "acrylates" copolymer and a ionic polymer (claims 35-42, 63), c) a composition comprising an "acrylates" copolymer and a non-ionic polymer (claims 43-62, 64-66).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, compositions comprising an "acrylates" polymer and a second polymer are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to

1/4/99

Art Unit: 1617

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Should applicants elect species b) a further election of species is required.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: d) compositions where the anionic polymer is an ethyl ester of PVM/MA polymer (claims 39-42), e) compositions where the anionic polymer is a monoesterified maleic anhydride/methyl vinyl ether copolymer (claim 63).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, anionic polymers are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/172,853 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

A telephone election of species was not attempted in view of the complexity of the requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edward J. Webman whose telephone number is (703) 308-4432. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald E. Adams, Ph.D., can be reached on (703) 308-0570. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3592.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

E. Webman; CV

10/21/99